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## Motivation

- Higher-order QCD corrections to hard scattering:

Infrared divergences from real/virtual cancel for inclusive quantities ( $\rightarrow$ KLN)

- But: Resolution through confinement of partons at $\mu_{\text {had }} \approx 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ (hadronisation) $\Rightarrow$ Not inclusive
- Finite remainders of infrared singularities:
logarithms of ratio $\mu_{F} / \mu_{\text {had }}^{2}$ with each $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$
- Such large logarithms have to be resummed to all orders

Parton shower:

- Higher orders represented by parton branchings
$\Rightarrow$ Evolution of parton ensemble between $\mu_{F}^{2}$ and $\mu_{\text {had }}^{2}$



## Question

How to get the (no-)branching probabilities to describe this evolution between different scales?

## Factorisation of QCD emissions

Universal factorisation of QCD real emission ME in soft/collinear limit:

$$
\mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \times\left(\sum_{i j, k \in \text { partons }} \frac{1}{2 p_{i} p_{j}} 8 \pi \alpha_{s} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}, p_{k}\right)\right)
$$

- B Born matrix element
- Sum over subterms $i j, k$ of the factorisation, e.g. parton lines (DGLAP) potentially with spectator $k$
- $\frac{1}{2 p_{i} p_{j}}$ from massless propagator

Evolution variable of shower $t \sim 2 p_{i} p_{j}$ (e.g. $k_{\perp}$, angle, $\ldots$ )

- $\mathcal{K}_{i j, k}$ splitting kernel for branching $(i j)+k \rightarrow i+j+k$

Specific form depends on scheme of the factorisation above, e.g.:

- Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions
- Dipole terms from Catani-Seymour subtraction
- Antenna functions
- ...


## Differential (no-)branching probability

- Radiative phase space: $\quad \mathrm{d} \Phi_{\text {rad }}^{i j, k}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} z \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi}$
- Combined with radiative part of the factorised ME (Jacobian/symmetry factor/PDFs ignored)

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{rad}}^{i j, k}=\frac{\mathrm{d} t}{t} \mathrm{~d} z \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k} \quad \text { Differential branching probability }
$$

## No-branching probability

Above: Differential probability for one branching to (not) happen in interval $\mathrm{d} t$ Goal: Total no-branching probability between scale $t^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime \prime}$

- Integrate over $z, \phi$, and $t$ from $t^{\prime}$ to $t^{\prime \prime}$
- Assume multiple independent emissions (Poisson statistics) $\Rightarrow$ Exponentiation
subterm: $\quad \Delta_{i j, k}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\exp \left\{-\sum_{f_{i}=q, g} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{t} \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}(z, t)\right\}$

$$
\text { event: } \quad \Delta\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\prod_{i j, k} \Delta_{i j, k}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

## Cross section up to first emission in a parton shower

$$
\sigma=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{B} \mathrm{~B}[\underbrace{\Delta\left(t_{0}, \mu^{2}\right)}_{\text {unresolved }}+\underbrace{\sum_{i j, k} \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \Delta\left(t, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{8 \pi \alpha_{s}}{2 p_{i} p_{j}} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}(z, t)}_{\text {resolved }}]
$$

## Features

- LO weight B for Born-like event
- Unitarity: Term in square brackets $[\ldots]=1 \Rightarrow$ LO cross section preserved
- "Unresolved" part: No emissions above parton shower cutoff $t_{0}$
- "Resolved" part: Emission between $t_{0}$ and factorisation scale $\mu^{2}$
- Emission in parton shower approximation with $\mathcal{K}_{i j, k}$

Transverse momentum of the lepton pair


Transverse momentum of the third jet


## Conclusions

- $p_{\perp}^{\ell \ell}$ probes QCD emissions because of recoil
- Resummation avoids divergence of fixed order calculation for $p_{\perp}^{\ell \ell} \rightarrow 0$
- Hard QCD emissions (leading to $p_{\perp}^{\ell \ell}>\mu_{F}^{2} \approx m_{Z}$ ) not well described (as we will see later)
- Factor $K=1.2$ to compare to NLO results later


## Main idea of ME+PS merging

Phase space slicing for QCD radiation in shower evolution

- Hard emissions $Q_{i j, k}(z, t)>Q_{\text {cut }}$
- Events rejected
- Compensated by events starting from higher-order ME (regularised by $Q_{\text {cut }}$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Splitting kernels replaced by exact real emission matrix elements

$$
\mathrm{B} \times \frac{8 \pi \alpha_{s}}{2 p_{i} p_{j}} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}(z, t) \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{B} \times \frac{8 \pi \alpha_{s}}{2 p_{i} p_{j}} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}^{\mathrm{ME}}(z, t)=\mathrm{R}_{i j, k}
$$

- Soft/collinear emissions $Q_{i j, k}(z, t)<Q_{\text {cut }}$
$\Rightarrow$ Retained from parton shower $\quad \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}(z, t)=\mathcal{K}_{i j, k}^{\mathrm{PS}}(z, t)$


## Note

- Boundary determined by "jet criterion" $Q_{i j, k}$
- Has to identify soft/collinear divergences in MEs, like jet algorithm
- Otherwise arbitrary, but some choices better than others
- In both regions: No-branching probabilities still from shower

$$
\Delta\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta^{(\mathrm{PS})}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

## Translate ME event into shower language

## Why?

- Need starting scales $t$ for PS evolution
- Have to embed existing emissions into PS evolution

Problem: ME only gives final state, no history
Solution: Backward-clustering (running the shower reversed), similar to jet algorithm:

1. Select last splitting according to shower probablities
2. Recombine partons using inverted shower kinematics $\rightarrow$ N-1 particles + splitting variables for one node
3. Reweight $\alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{s}\left(p_{\perp}^{2}\right)$
4. Repeat 1 - 3 until core process $(2 \rightarrow 2)$


## Truncated shower

- Shower each (external and intermediate!) line between determined scales
- "Boundary" scales: factorisation scale $\mu_{F}^{2}$ and shower cut-off $t_{o}$


## Cross section up to first emission in ME + PS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{B} \mathrm{~B}[\underbrace{\Delta^{(\mathrm{PS})}\left(t_{0}, \mu^{2}\right)}_{\text {unresolved }}+\sum_{i j, k} \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \Delta^{(\mathrm{PS})}\left(t, \mu^{2}\right) \\
&\times(\underbrace{\frac{8 \pi \alpha_{s}}{2 p_{i} p_{j}} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}^{(\mathrm{PS})}(z, t) \Theta\left(Q_{\mathrm{cut}}-Q_{i j, k}\right)}_{\text {resolved, PS domain }}+\underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{i j, k}}{\mathrm{~B}} \Theta\left(Q_{i j, k}-Q_{\mathrm{cut}}\right)}_{\text {resolved, ME domain }})]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Features

- LO weight B for Born-like event
- Unitarity slightly violated due to mismatch of $\Delta^{(P S)}$ and $R / B$
$[\ldots] \approx 1 \Rightarrow$ LO cross section only approximately preserved
- Unresolved emissions as in parton shower approach
- Resolved emissions now sliced into PS and ME domain
- Only for one emission here, but possible up to very high number of emissions


## Transverse momentum of the lepton pair



Transverse momentum of the third jet
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## Transverse momentum of the third jet



## Conclusions

- Multiple hard emissions properly accounted for
- Resummation preserved
- Inclusive rate still at $\mathrm{LO} \Rightarrow$ factor $K=1.2$ necessary


## Results: Features and shortcomings

## Example

## Diphoton production at Tevatron

- Recently published by DØ Phys.Lett.B690:108-117,2010
- Isolated hard photons with:
- $E_{\perp}^{\gamma^{1}}>21 \mathrm{GeV}$
- $E_{\perp}^{\gamma^{2}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$
- $\left|\eta_{\gamma}\right|<0.9$
- Isolation: $E_{\perp}(R=0.4)-E_{\perp}^{\gamma}<2.5 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Here: Azimuthal angle between the diphoton pair

ME+PS simulation using Sherpa 1.2.2 with QCD+QED interleaved shower and merging

Höche, Schumann, FS (2010)

## Conclusions

Shapes described very well even for this non-trivial process/observable for both:

- Hard region, e.g. $\Delta \Phi_{\gamma \gamma} \rightarrow 0$
- Soft region, e.g. $\Delta \Phi_{\gamma \gamma} \rightarrow \pi$

Total cross section too low $\Rightarrow$ Virtual MEs needed


## The POWHEG method

## Motivation

- Parton shower for resummation $\checkmark$
- ME+PS for correct hard radiation pattern $\checkmark$
- Inclusive rate still at LO in $\alpha_{s} \ldots$ can we do NLO + parton shower?
- MC@NLO Frixione, Webber (2002)
- POWHEG Nason (2004), Frixione, Nason, Oleari (2007) (used in the following)


## Two issues to solve

1. Cross section at NLO accuracy in $\alpha_{s}$
2. Radiation pattern of first emission according to real ME

## Note

- Completely orthogonal to and independent of ME+PS merging
- Only possible for first emission, not for higher orders


## Reminder: Matrix elements contributing to NLO

- Born ME $\rightarrow$ automatic tree-level generators
- Virtual ME $\rightarrow$ dedicated codes, Binoth Les Houches interface
- Real emission ME $\rightarrow$ automatic tree-level generators


## Integrating over real emission phase space

- Problem: Cancellation of infrared divergences between virtual and real, Separate numerical integration ( $N$ and $N+1$ final states) not possible
- Solution: Subtraction procedure, e.g. Catani-Seymour or Frixione-Kunszt-Signer
- Subtract universal divergent terms from real ME (S)
- Integrate them analytically and add to virtual ME (I)
$\Rightarrow$ Poles cancel
- Integration of real emission phase space explicitely or by Monte-Carlo sampling
$\Rightarrow$ NLO weight for event with Born level kinematics

$$
\overline{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{I}+\sum_{\{\tilde{\imath}, \tilde{k}\}} \sum_{f_{i}=q, g} \int \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{R \mid B}^{i j, k}\left[\mathrm{R}_{i j, k}-\mathrm{S}_{i j, k}\right]
$$

## Matrix element corrections in parton showers

- Well-known method for reinstating $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ accuracy in parton shower radiation pattern ( $\rightarrow$ Herwig, Pythia)
- Feasible only for simple cases


## Reweighting principle (simplified)

- From above: weight with which to correct one emission

$$
w_{i j, k}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{rad}}^{i j, k}}{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathrm{rad}}^{(\mathrm{PS}) i j, k}}=\frac{2 p_{i} p_{j}}{8 \pi \alpha_{s}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{i j, k}}{\mathcal{B} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}} .
$$

- Determine overestimate $W_{i j}$ for the total weight throughout real-emission phase space
- Replace splitting kernels in parton shower $\mathcal{K}_{i j, k} \rightarrow W_{i j} \mathcal{K}_{i j, k}$
- Accept shower branchings only with probability $w / W$


## Cross section up to first emission in POWHEG

$$
\sigma=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{B} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}[\underbrace{\Delta^{(\mathrm{ME})}\left(t_{0}, \mu^{2}\right)}_{\text {unresolved }}+\underbrace{\left.\sum_{i j, k} \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \Delta^{(\mathrm{ME})}\left(t, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{R}_{i j, k}}{\mathrm{~B}}\right]}_{\text {resolved }}]
$$

## Features

- NLO weight $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ for Born-like event
- Unitarity: Term in square brackets [...] =1
$\Rightarrow$ NLO cross section preserved
- First resolved emission exact according to real emission ME
- No-branching probability $\Delta^{(\mathrm{ME})}\left(t_{0}, \mu^{2}\right)$ from $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{B}$ instead of $\mathcal{K}$
- Only one corrected emission, further emissions in parton shower approximation


## Transverse momentum of the lepton pair



Transverse momentum of the third jet


## Canonical Example: Drell-Yan process $p p \rightarrow \ell \ell$

## Transverse momentum of the lepton pair



Transverse momentum of the third jet


## Conclusions

- Inclusive rate at NLO $\Rightarrow$ no $K$-factor necessary
- First hard emission properly accounted for
$\Rightarrow$ Observables sensitive to first emission (e.g. $p_{\perp}^{\ell \ell}$ ) fine
- Further emissions only in parton shower approximation
$\Rightarrow$ Observables sensitive to higher order corrections not sufficiently described


## The MENLOPS algorithm

## Motivation

Two different methods to improve parton showers:

- POWHEG
+ NLO accuracy in cross section
+ First emission according to real emission ME
+ Soft/collinear resummation from parton shower
- Further hard emissions in parton shower approximation
- ME+PS
- Only LO accuracy in cross section
+ Soft/collinear resummation from parton shower
+ All hard emissions according to real emission ME
Can we combine both methods and get rid of their disadvantages?


## Idea starting from ME+PS

(see also Hamilton, Nason (2010))

- Replace "unresolved" and "PS resolved" part in ME+PS with POWHEG i.e. run POWHEG generator instead of normal parton shower for first emission
- Generate "resolved ME" part separately through real emission MEs as before
- Supply real ME events with local $K$-factor $\frac{\bar{B}}{\bar{B}}$
formally beyond NLO, but necessary for smooth merging


## Master formula

## Cross section up to first emission in MENLOPS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{B} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}[\underbrace{\Delta^{(\mathrm{ME})}\left(t_{0}, \mu^{2}\right)}_{\text {unresolved }}+\sum_{i j, k} \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{i j, k}}{\mathrm{~B}} \\
&\times(\underbrace{\Delta^{(\mathrm{ME})}\left(t, \mu^{2}\right) \Theta\left(Q_{\mathrm{cut}}-Q_{i j, k}\right)}_{\text {resolved, PS domain }}+\underbrace{\Delta^{(\mathrm{PS})}\left(t, \mu^{2}\right) \Theta\left(Q_{i j, k}-Q_{\mathrm{cut}}\right)}_{\text {resolved, ME domain }})]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Features

- NLO weight $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ for Born-like event
- Unitarity still slightly violated, but deviations are beyond NLO:
$[\ldots]=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$
- Algorithmically ME domain events generated separately (not through POWHEG) $\Rightarrow R_{i j, k}$ has to be supplemented with local $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{B}}\left(\Phi_{B}\right)}{\bar{B}\left(\Phi_{B}\right)}$ explicitely to reproduce the above


## Canonical Example: Drell-Yan process $p p \rightarrow \ell \ell$

## Transverse momentum of the lepton pair



## Transverse momentum of the third jet



## Canonical Example: Drell-Yan process $p p \rightarrow \ell \ell$

## Transverse momentum of the lepton pair



## Transverse momentum of the third jet



## Conclusions

## Jack-of-all-trades algorithm

- Inclusive rate at NLO $\Rightarrow$ no $K$-factor necessary
- Multiple hard emissions properly accounted for


Jet resolution where 5 jets are clustered into 4 jets

Eur. Phys. J. C35 (2004), 457-486


KSW Angle built from momenta of four most energetic jets
arXiv:hep-ex/0101044

## Comparison to HERA results for Deep-Inelastic lepton-nucleon Scattering



Inclusive jet cross section as function of transverse energy in Breit frame
arXiv:hep-ex/0206029


Dijet cross section as function of $Q^{2}$
arXiv:hep-ex/0010054


Inclusive jet multiplicity
arXiv:hep-ex/0608052


Azimuthal separation of lepton pair and leading jet
arXiv:0907.4286

## Predictions for Higgs-production via gluon fusion at LHC



Separation between leading and second leading jet


Transverse momentum of second leading jet

## Predictions for $W^{+} W^{-}$production at LHC



Scalar sum of missing $E_{T}$ and transverse momenta of jets and leptons


Azimuthal decorrelation between leading and second leading jet

## Conclusions

- Tree-level ME+PS merging works well for shapes, but needs $K$-factor for cross section
- POWHEG reproduces full NLO cross section and shape of first emission but fails for additional hard radiation
- Combination of full NLO and higher order tree-level MEs with shower achieves both of the above
- Recently much progress and already first implementations
- Automation within SHERPA framework


## Availability

- Released with Sherpa 1.2.3 on 7 Dec 2010
- Available in Genser, will be collected into an Athena release soon


## Outlook

- Full NLO only in core process, not in higher order corrections yet
- Application to more processes (e.g. multi-jet production)

